A Simple Proof of Gauss Markov Theorem

Starting point: The Sample Mean is BLUE

- 1) Recall the analysis of the Sample Mean estimator...
- 2) Linear unbiased estimators (LUEs)

$$M = \beta_1 Y_1 + \beta_2 Y_2 + ... + \beta_n Y_n$$
, where $\sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i = 1$

The constraint is required for unbiasedness.

3) To find the Best Linear Unbiased Estimator (BLUE):

min
$$Var(\sum \beta_i Y_i) = \sigma^2 \sum \beta_i^2$$

subject to $\sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i = 1$.

- 4) Solution: $\beta_i^* = \frac{1}{n}$.
- 5) So the Sample Mean is a BLUE Estimator.



Turning to Gauss-Markov: Estimating the slope parameter in an SLR model

- 6) We want to estimate the slope parameter, β_1 , of the linear model (DGM): $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + U$. Assume SLR.1-SLR.5 and consider the following general linear estimator (since we are conditioning on the x_i 's, the estimator will be linear in the Y_i 's): $B_1 = b_0 + \sum b_i Y_i$.
- 7) **Unbiased:** Since $E[b_0 + \sum b_i Y_i] = b_0 + \sum b_i (\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i) = b_0 + \beta_0 \sum b_i + \beta_1 \sum b_i x_i \equiv \beta_1$, for a LUE, we require:
 - $b_0 = 0$,
 - **Constraint I**: $\sum b_i = 0$ and
 - Constraint II: $\sum b_i x_i = 1.^1$
- 8) *Variance:* Given that $b_0 = 0$, the variance of the estimator will be: $Var\left[\sum b_i Y_i\right] = \sigma^2 \sum b_i^2$. And so the optimization problem becomes:

min
$$\sigma^2 \sum b_i^2$$
 s.t. $\sum b_i = 0$ and $\sum b_i x_i = 1$

¹ Note that if SLR.3 is violated and there is no variation in the x's, then the two constraints cannot be jointly satisfed so long as $\overline{x} \neq 0$.

- 9) Collapsing the constraints:
 - a) Constraints I and II imply that $1 = \sum b_i x_i = \sum b_i x_i \overline{x} \sum b_i$, since $\sum b_i = 0$, and so any $\{b_i\}$ satisfying I and II, must also satisfy:
 - **Constraint III**: $\sum b_i (x_i \overline{x}) = \sum b_i d_i = 1 \dots$ where $d_i = (x_i \overline{x}), i = 1, \dots, n$
- 10) The trick below is that rather than minimizing $\sigma^2 \sum b_i^2$ subject to Constraints I and II, we instead minimize subject to Constraint III, which includes all $\{b_i\}$ satisfying I and II, and perhaps other $\{b_i\}$, and then show that the optimal b's, $\{b_i^*\}$, also satisfy Constraints I and II.
- 11) The following diagram illustrates the approach:



Constraint III

12) Example: Consider two x's, where $x_1 = 0$ and $x_2 = 1$. Then the three constraints are:

- Constraint I (c1): $b_1 + b_2 = 0$,
- Constraint II (c2): $b_2 = 1$, and
- Constraint III (c3): $.5b_2 .5b_1 = 1$.



Note that the $\{b_i\}$ satisfying constraints I and II $(b_1 = -1, b_2 = 1)$ also satisfies constraint III.

A Simple Proof of Gauss Markov Theorem

13) Accordingly, the Constraint III BLUE optimization problem becomes:

min
$$\sigma^2 \sum b_i^2$$
 s.t. $\sum (x_i - \overline{x}) b_i = \sum d_i b_i = 1$.



14) At the optimum:
$$b_i^* = \frac{d_i}{\sum_{j=1}^n d_j^2} = \frac{(x_i - \overline{x})}{\sum_j (x_j - \overline{x})^2} \quad i = 1, ..., n, .^2$$

15) And so, we have *OLS*!:

$$B_{1} = \sum_{i} \left(\frac{\left(x_{i} - \overline{x}\right)}{\sum_{j} \left(x_{j} - \overline{x}\right)^{2}} \right) Y_{i} = \frac{\sum_{i} \left(x_{i} - \overline{x}\right) Y_{i}}{\sum_{j} \left(x_{j} - \overline{x}\right)^{2}} = \frac{\sum_{i} \left(x_{i} - \overline{x}\right) \left(Y_{i} - \overline{Y}\right)}{\sum_{j} \left(x_{j} - \overline{x}\right)^{2}}$$

² See the Appendix for a proof.

16) Checking that both constraints I and II are satisfied:

a) Constraint I is satisfied:
$$\sum b_i x_i = \frac{\sum (x_i - \overline{x}) x_i}{\sum (x_j - \overline{x})^2} = 1$$
 since for the denominator, we have
 $\sum_j (x_j - \overline{x})^2 = \sum_j (x_j - \overline{x}) (x_j - \overline{x}) = \sum_j (x_j - \overline{x}) x_j$.
b) Constraint II is also satisfied: $\sum b_i = \frac{\sum (x_i - \overline{x})}{\sum (x_j - \overline{x})^2} = 0$ because the numerator is 0.

i

c) Constraints satisfied!



Appendix: Proof of the Gauss-Markov Theorem

17) Consider the constrained optimization problem above (since it doesn't affect anything, let's

drop
$$\sigma^2$$
): min $\sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i^2 = 1$ subject to $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i \beta_i = 1$.



a) As you saw in the *Review of Estimation*, we can incorporate the *constraint* into the *objective function*. The constraint requires that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i \beta_i = 1$, or put differently:

$$d_n\beta_n = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d_i\beta_i$$
, or $\beta_n = \frac{1}{d_n} \left[1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d_i\beta_i \right]$.

b) Incorporating the constraint in the objective function, we have a new (unconstrained) optimization problem:

$$\min \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \beta_i^2 + \beta_n^2 = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \beta_i^2 + \frac{1}{d_n^2} \left[1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d_i \beta_i \right]^2 \right\}.$$

c) As before, we can solve this with n-1 FOCs (First Order Conditions):

For
$$j = 1, ..., n-1$$
: $\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_j} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \beta_i^2 + \beta_n^2 \right\} = \left\{ 2\beta_j + \frac{2}{d_n^2} \left[1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d_i \beta_i \right] (-d_j) \right\} = 0$
d) But then $\beta_j^* = \frac{d_j}{d_n^2} \left[1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d_i \beta_i^* \right] = \frac{d_j}{d_n^2} d_n \beta_n^* = \frac{d_j}{d_n} \beta_n^*$, and so $\frac{\beta_j^*}{d_j} = \frac{\beta_i^*}{d_i} = \frac{\beta_n^*}{d_n}$, for any *i* and *j*.

e) Simplifying things:

i)
$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} d_j \beta_j^* = \frac{\beta_n^*}{d_n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} d_j^2 = 1 - d_n \beta_n^*, \text{ or } \dots \beta_n^* \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} d_j^2 = d_n - d_n^2 \beta_n^*$$

ii)
$$\beta_n^* \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} d_j^2 + d_n^2 \beta_n^* = d_n, \text{ or } \dots \beta_n^* \sum_{j=1}^n d_j^2 = d_n, \text{ or } \dots \beta_n^* = \frac{d_n}{\sum_{j=1}^n d_j^2}$$

.... $\beta_n^* = \frac{\beta_n^*}{d_n^*} = \beta_n^* + \beta_n^* = 1$
.... $\beta_n^* = \frac{\beta_n^*}{d_n^*} = \frac{\beta_n^*}{d_n^*} = 1$

iii) So
$$\frac{\beta_j}{d_j} = \frac{\beta_i}{d_i} = \frac{\beta_n}{d_n} = \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n d_j^2} \dots$$
 and so $\beta_i^* = \frac{d_i}{\sum_{j=1}^n d_j^2} i = 1, ..., n$.

- f) Or put differently: At the (constrained) optimum, $d_i \beta_i^* = \frac{d_i^2}{\sum_{j=1}^n d_j^2} i = 1, ..., n$, and the
 - $d_i \beta_i^*$'s are non-negative weights that sum to one.